

Template for Local Authority Report

to

The Schools Adjudicator

from

Cambridgeshire Local Authority

to be provided by

31 October 2022

Report Cleared by: Name

Job Title

Telephone number

Email:

Date submitted:

By: Name Shelley Kingston

Job TitlePolicy & Operations manager

Telephone number 07342 700287

Email: shelley.kingston@cambridgeshire.gov.uk

Website: Office of the Schools Adjudicator

Please email your completed report to: Office of the Schools Adjudicator by 31 October 2022 and earlier if possible

Contents

Introduction

Guidance on completing the template

| Secti | ion 1 - Normal point of admission | 3 |
|-------|---|----|
| A. | Co-ordination | 3 |
| B. | Looked after and previously looked after children | 3 |
| C. | Special educational needs and disabilities | 4 |
| Secti | ion 2 - In-year admissions | 7 |
| A. | Co-ordination of in-year admissions | 5 |
| B. | Looked after children and previously looked after children | 5 |
| C. | Children with special educational needs and/or disabilities | 6 |
| D. | Fair access protocol | 6 |
| E. | Directions | 9 |
| F. | General comments on in-year admissions | 10 |
| Secti | ion 3 - Other Matters | 10 |
| Secti | ion 4 – Feedback | 8 |

Information requested

Section 1 - Normal point of admission

A. Co-ordination

| i. | How well did co-ordination of the main admissions round work? | Not well | A large number of small problems or a major problem | Well with few small problems | Very well |
|----|---|----------|---|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | Reception | | | | X |
| | Year 7 | | | | X |
| | Other relevant years of entry | | | | |

| ii. P | Please give examples to illustrate your answer if you wish: | | |
|-------|--|--|--|
| High | High number of late applications for primary in rounds 2/3. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| B. | Looked after and previously looked after children | | |
| i. | How does the admissions system in your local authority area serve the interests of looked after children at normal points of admission ? | | |
| | □Not at all □Not well □Well ⊠Very well □Not applicable | | |
| ii. | How do the admissions systems in other local authority areas serve the interests of children looked after by your local authority at normal points of admission ? | | |
| | □Not at all □Not well ⊠Well □Very well □Not applicable | | |
| iii. | How does your admissions system serve the interests of children who are looked after by other local authorities but educated in your area at normal points of admission? | | |

| | □Not at all □Not well □Well □Very well □Not applicable |
|--------|---|
| iv. | How does the admissions system in your local authority area serve the interests of previously looked after children at normal points of admission ? |
| | □Not at all □Not well ⊠Well □Very well □Not applicable |
| V. | Please confirm that your local authority has included children adopted from state care outside England in its definition of previously looked after children in admission arrangements for schools for which it is the admission authority |
| | ⊠Yes □No □Not applicable |
| vi. | How confident are you that all other admission authorities in your area have included children adopted from state care outside England in their definitions of previously looked after children in admission arrangements for schools for which they are the admission authority? |
| | oximes Confident all have $oximes$ Confident some have $oximes$ Not aware of whether all or some have $oximes$ Not applicable |
| vii If | volunials places give examples of any good or peer practice or difficulties |
| whic | you wish, please give examples of any good or poor practice or difficulties h exemplify your answers about the admission to schools of looked after and ously looked after children at normal points of admission : |
| | ain geographical areas have cheaper housing and a higher number of childrenes which means one or two schools have a higher proportion of CiC than other ols. |
| | |
| C. | Special educational needs and/or disabilities |
| | se provide any comments you wish to make on the admission of children special educational needs and/or disabilities at normal points of admission: |
| | te schools slow on take up to offer place when it is necessary to commence tHCP process. |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Section 2 - In-year admissions

A. Effect of Code changes on in-year admissions

definition of challenging behaviour to refuse admission.

| Please provide any comments you wish to make on the effect of the changes to the Code's provisions for in-year admissions. It would be particularly helpful to have comments on whether you think the changes have made it easier or not for parents to secure places for children in-year? |
|---|
| More schools are capping at the start of the academic year. No, I don't think the changes have made it easier for parents. Schools are more frequently using the |

B. Looked after children and previously looked after children

| i. | How does the in-year admission system serve children who are looked after by your local authority and who are being educated in your area? |
|------|--|
| | □Not at all □Not well ⊠Well □Very well □Not applicable |
| ii. | How do the in-year admission systems in other local authority areas serve the interests of your looked after children? |
| | □Not at all □Not well ⊠Well □Very well □Not applicable |
| iii. | How does your in-year admission system serve the interests of children who are looked after by other local authorities but educated in your area? |
| | □Not at all □Not well ⊠Well □Very well □Not applicable |
| iv. | How does your in-year admission system serve the interests of previously looked after children? |
| | □Not at all □Not well □Well □Very well □Not applicable |
| | If you wish, please give examples of any good or poor practice or culties which support or exemplify your answers about in-year admissions looked after and previously looked after children: |
| | nland schools have a disproportionate number of CiC/PCiC. This causes ues when other schools have less. |

C. Children with special educational needs and/or disabilities

| i. | How well served are children with special educational needs and/or disabilities who have an education, health and care plan that names a school when they need to be admitted in-year ? |
|-------|--|
| | □Not at all well □Not well ⊠Well □Very well □ Not applicable |
| ii. | How well served are children with special educational needs and/or disabilities who do not have an education, health and care plan when they need to be admitted in-year ? |
| | □Not at all well □Not well □Well ⊠Very well □Do not know |
| iii. | Please give examples of any good or poor practice or difficulties which support or exemplify your answers about in-year admissions for children with special educational needs and/or disabilities: |
| | is variable. Many schools always cite the high level of current SEN need in ools – a tiring argument and one that is challenged but causes delays. |
| | |
| | If you wish, please provide any comments about in-year admissions in respect of other children: |
| coun | ools do not want to take year 11's when they have moved into the htry/county, significant increase in overseas for Peterborough and high number kraine for Cambridgeshire – put pressure on places. |
| D. | Fair access protocol |
| i | i. Do you have a fair access protocol agreed with the majority of state-funded mainstream schools in your area? |
| | |
| | ⊠Yes for primary ⊠Yes for secondary |
| ii. | |
| ii. | ⊠Yes for secondary |
| ii. l | ⊠Yes for secondary |

iii. How many children were admitted to schools in your area under the fair access protocol between 1 August 2021 and 31 July 2022?

| Type of school | Number of Primary aged children admitted | Number of Secondary aged children admitted |
|---|--|--|
| Community and voluntary controlled | 14 | 0 |
| Foundation, voluntary aided and academies | 4 | 100 |
| Total | 18 | 112 |

| | iv. How well do you consider hard to place children are served by the fair access protocol in your area? | | | | |
|---|---|------------------|----------------|----------------|------|
| | □Not at all well applicable | □Not well | ⊠Well | □Very well | □Not |
| | | | | | |
| v. Please provide any comments you wish on the protocol not covered above. It would be particularly helpful to have any comments on the impact of the Code changes on the operation of the FAP in your area and the ability to secure places for vulnerable children: | | | | | |
| FA F | Protocol to be revised a | and going out to | consultation i | n November 202 | 2. |
| | | | | | |
| E. | Directions | | | | |

How many directions did the local authority make between 1 August 2021 and 31 July 2022 to maintained schools for which the local authority is not the admission authority to admit children (including children looked after by the local authority but resident in another area)?

| Total Number of children | Of which, looked after | Of which, not looked after |
|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| 0 | | |

| F. If you wish, please provide any other comments on the admission of children in-year not previously raised: |
|---|
| |
| |
| Section 3 - Other matters |
| Are there any other matters that the local authority would like to raise that have not been covered by the questions above? |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Section 4 - Feedback |
| We would be grateful if you could provide any feedback on completing this report to inform our practice for 2023. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Thank you for completing this template.

Please return to Office of the Schools Adjudicator by 31October 2022